1 2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

COTTRELL L. BROADNAX,

VS.

FERNANDEZ, et al.,

Plaintiff,

Defendants.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

1:22-cv-01223-JLT-GSA-PC

ORDER ADOPTING FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS IN FULL

(Doc. 18.)

ORDER DENYING MOTIONS TO PROCEED IN FORMA PAUPERIS

(Docs. 6, 14.)

ORDER REQUIRING PLAINTIFF TO PAY \$420.00 FILING FEE IN FULL WITHIN THIRTY DAYS

The assigned magistrate judge issued findings and recommendations recommending that Plaintiff's motions to proceed in forma pauperis be denied because he had sufficient funds in his prison trust account to pay the filing fee. (Doc. 18.) Plaintiff filed objections to the findings and recommendations. (Doc. 23.)

According to 28 U.S.C. § 636 (b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 304, this Court has conducted a de novo review of this case. Plaintiff's objections do not meaningfully contest the reasoning in the findings and recommendations. Having carefully reviewed the entire file, the Court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and proper analysis. Thus, the Court **ORDERS**:

1	1.	The findings and recommendations issued (Doc. 18), are ADOPTED IN FULL		
2	2.	Plaintiff's motions to proceed in forma pauperis (Docs. 6, 14), are DENIED .		
3	3.	Within thirty days of the date of service of this order, Plaintiff is REQUIRED		
4		to pay the \$420.00 filing fee in	full for this case.	
5	4.	Plaintiff is warned that if he fails to obey this order, the Court may summarily		
6		dismiss this case without further notice.		
7				
8	IT IS SO ORDERED.			
9	Dated:	November 18, 2022	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
10			UMIED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE	
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28	1			